

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/737,186

Applicant(s)

SAXENA ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Vuthe Siek

2825

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to communication filed 3/26/07.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 5-17 and 19 (Renumbering per 37 CFR 1.126).
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some* c) None of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of
 Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
 Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
 of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
 Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.


VUTHE SIEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 10/737,186 and amendment filed on 3/26/2007. Claims 1, 5-17 and 19 remain pending in the application, where claims 2-4, 18 and 20 are canceled.

EXAMINER'S STATEMENT AS TO THE REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

2. Claims 1, 5-17 and 19 are allowed over the prior art of record.
3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: as to claims 1 and 5-9, the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest an automated method to result noise problem comprising the steps as recited in the claims mainly determining that the victim driver is took week for the victim line (meaning that a ratio of the total wiring switching cross-capacitance of the victim line to the total capacitance driven by the victim's driver is smaller than a pre-determined threshold value) along with the rest of claim limitations). As to claims 10-17 and 19, the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest an automated method to result noise problem comprising the steps as recited in the claims mainly determining that a victim line is subject to significant cross-capacitance coupling (meaning that a ratio of the total wiring switching cross-capacitance of the victim line to the total capacitance driven by the victim's driver is greater than a pre-determined threshold value) along with the rest of claim limitations) and a single aggressor is causing cross-capacitance coupling that significantly dominates the noise problem (meaning that a ratio of a coupled capacitance between that aggressor and the victim to the total capacitance driven by the victim's driver is larger than a pre-determined threshold value) along with the rest of claim limitations.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vuthe Siek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1906. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on (571) 272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*Vuthe Siek/
Primary Examiner, A.U. 2825*